

AMENDMENT TO THE CLAIMS

Please amend claim 10, as follows:

1. (Previously presented) A computer implemented method for evaluating document security features that provide a level of security in a security document, said security features being provided directly on said security document, and rating the security level of said security document, comprising:

processing data relating to selected security features of said document using a

Please computer, said security features each having associated compatibility and relative rating  
Enter information;

02/15/2011 determining compatibility issues using the computer among said selected  
security features of said document;

revising said selected security features of said document using said computer to  
resolve any compatibility issues;

evaluating said relative rating information of said selected security features using  
said computer to determine a document security rating of said document; and

presenting said document security rating of said document on a display of said  
computer.

2. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising presenting  
security features on a display of the computer for selection.

3. (Original) The method of claim 2, wherein said security features are presented  
categorized by purpose.

4. (Original) The method of claim 2, further comprising providing a selectable link for at  
least one said security feature, which when selected presents information which  
describes the associated security feature and explains strengths and weaknesses of the  
associated security feature.

5. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising recommending said security features based on security document type and desired security level.
6. (Previously presented) The method of claim 5, further comprising providing a link for at least one of said security document type, which when selected presents an example of the associated security document type.
7. (Previously presented) The method of claim 6, wherein said example includes recommended security features and providing associated links which when selected explain each associated security feature.
8. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising requesting document design information to help assess compatibility issues.
9. (Original) The method of claim 8, wherein said document design information includes use of security document, type of document paper, storage requirements, printer type, threat environment assessment, type of document tracking, type of document authentication, and type of document shipping.
10. (Currently amended) The method of claim 8, wherein certain said security features are made unselectable by said computer based upon said document design information.
11. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein said document security rating includes a rating of how well said selected security features will protect the security document against different forms of attack and relative ease of authentication of the security document.

12. (Original) The method of claim 11, wherein said different forms of attack include tampering, copying, counterfeiting, and accessing, and said rating is provided for each attack type.
13. (Original) The method of claim 11, wherein said authentication includes overt, covert, and mechanical, and said rating is provided for each authentication type.
14. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein revising said selected security features of said document using said computer to resolve any compatibility issues comprises the steps of providing a warning with said computer, and suggesting at least two possible solutions with said computer for resolving said compatibility issue.
15. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising viewing a relative cost assessment for selected features.
16. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising presenting a link to common questions and concerns.
17. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein said data relating to said selected security features is obtained from a database by said computer.
18. (Original) The method of claim 12, further comprising providing a protection disclaimer if said rating for at least one said attack type is deficient, and providing security feature suggestions that will provide the security document with adequate protection and performance in the specified attack type area.
19. (Original) The method of claim 13, further comprising providing a protection disclaimer if said rating for at least one said authentication type is deficient, and providing security feature suggestions that will provide the security document with adequate protection and performance in the specified authentication type area.

20. (Previously presented) A non-transitory computer-readable medium with an executable program thereon, wherein the program instructs a processor of a computer system to perform evaluation of document security features that provide a level of security in a security document, said security features being provided directly on said security document, and rating the security level of said security document, the evaluation being carried out by the steps of:

processing data relating to selected security features of said document using said computer system, said security features each having associated compatibility and relative rating information;

determining compatibility issues using said computer system among said selected security features of said document; and

evaluating said relative rating information of said selected security features using said computer system to determine a document security rating of said document; and

presenting said document security rating of said document on a display of said computer system.